



**Bibliography Section  
ANNUAL REPORT  
2018-2019**

**Report on progress and activities**

<b>Objectives</b> <i>Identified in the Unit's Action Plan</i>	<b>Project or activity</b> <i>Identified in the Unit's Action Plan</i>	<b>Progress</b> <i>Please give brief details, including status (not started, in progress, near completion, completed)</i>	<b>Risks</b> <i>Please identify any problems or concerns (e.g. missed deadlines, lack of resource) and how you would propose to address them</i>
<b>1. Developing Best Practices for National Bibliographic Agencies in at time of great change in the information environment</b>	<p>1.1 Develop The National Bibliographic Register as a useful and regularly updated bench-marking resource for national bibliographic agencies.</p> <p>1.2 Publish "Common Practices for NBAs in the Digital Age" as a single and updated document, as part of the IFLA Professional Reports Series.</p>	<p>The Register continues to grow with new entries and is continuously updated. During the year we have received updates from four NBAs and new entries from three NBAs. The total responses now amount to 48. The data from the responses has been integrated into analysis files and we have posted comparative charts and tables on our website.</p> <p>The draft, after review from project members, will soon be available for comment and additions to the Standing Committee. We will post it on Basecamp. Deadline for comment is by *1 December 2019* so that we can</p>	

		publish the new version in the spring.	
<b>2. Establishing National Bibliographic Services as critical community assets</b>	Strengthen our cooperation with the metadata community, by arranging an open “Bibliography Clinic” session during the WLIC, by co-arranging the satellite meeting “Metadata specialists in the machine age” with the other UBC-sections and IT, by co-arranging the metadata reports session, by publishing two issues of the Metadata reports newsletter and by active section recruitment. Revise and update the current UBC professional statement from the perspective of multiculturalism.	All of our planned activities have been successfully completed, except the revision of the UBC statement (see comment to the right).	We had hoped to also revise and update the current UBC professional statement from the perspective of multiculturalism, but where not able to take on this task due to lack of time. We still could do better on section recruitment.

## Results

<b>Completed project or activity</b> <i>Please list those projects/activities identified as completed in the table above</i>	<b>Output</b> <i>Please state what the output of the project/activity was (e.g. Report, Standard, Workshop etc) and provide a URL to it</i>	<b>Communications</b> <i>Please state how the output and outcomes of the project/activity have been communicated to the Unit, IFLA members and the wider profession</i>	<b>Impact of the completed project or activity</b> <i>Through the Measures of Success identified in your Action Plan, describe what difference the project or activity has made to the Unit, IFLA members, the wider profession or society at large</i>
<b>1. Organized an open session, co-organized a satellite meeting and the “metadata reports” session, in conjunction with WLIC 2019.</b>	Open session: created connections between professionals; results to be gathered in a knowledge database		

<b>2. Published two issues of the Metadata Newsletter</b>	New issues published in December 2018 and in June 2019.	Sections' website, mailing lists of the three sections, as well as regional mailing lists.
---	---	--

### Standing Committee membership

Standing Committee members' names	Role on the Standing Committee (if any) and contribution made to the work of the Professional Unit over the year <i>For example, Chair, Information Coordinator, Project Leader</i>	Comments on position <i>For example, resigned, co-opted to fill a casual vacancy, etc.</i>
<b>1. Miriam Nauri</b>	Chair, editor for the Common Practices	
<b>2. Mathilde Koskas</b>	Co-chair, editor for the Common Practices, Organising team for the open session and satellite meeting 2019	
<b>3. Rebecca Lubas</b>	Secretary, Main editor for the Common Practices, Organising team for the open session 2018, SC's representative in the Action Plan workshop at The Hague	
<b>4. Pat Riva</b>	Project leader for the National Bibliographic Register, editor for the Common Practices, Information coordinator from August 2018	
<b>5. Marta Cichon</b>		The SC lost contact with her during the beginning of the term
<b>6. Jonny Edvardsen</b>		
<b>7. Bukurije Haliti</b>		
<b>8. Edita Lichtenbergova</b>		
<b>9. Sinikka Luukkanen</b>	Editor for the Common Practices	
<b>10. Lydia Novikova</b>		
<b>11. Ludmila Rohonova</b>		
<b>12. Jochen Rupp</b>		

<b>13. Aliya Saidembayeva</b>		
<b>14. Miyuki Tsuda</b>	Editor for the Common Practices	
<b>15. Jay Weitz</b>	Editor for the Metadata Newsletter	

<b>Names of any other reporting persons</b>	<b>Role</b> <i>For example, Corresponding Members</i>	<b>Comments on position</b> <i>For example, resigned, co-opted to fill a casual vacancy, etc.</i>
<b>1. Chantal Moukoko</b>	Corresponding member	
<b>2. Nicola Potgieter</b>	Corresponding member	
<b>3. Sarah Stacy</b>	Corresponding member	
<b>4. Monika Szunejko</b>	Corresponding member, coordinator of our open session, incoming regular SC member	

### Professional Unit meetings or conference calls

<b>Date</b> <i>When the meeting was held</i>	<b>Location or type of meeting</b> <i>Physical or virtual meeting (telephone, skype etc)</i>	<b>Main outcomes</b> <i>Briefly summarise the main outcomes of the meeting and how these were communicated to the membership of the Professional Unit</i>
<b>1. Half a dozen zoom meetings during the year for the officers/organizing team members</b>	Virtual	Finalized the draft for the Common Practices, Planned sessions and business meeting for the WLIC
<b>2. Throughout the year</b>	Email	All business involving the whole SC